Delegated Decision Notice

This form is the written record of a key, significant operational or administrative decision taken by an officer.

Decision type	☐ Key Decision	Significant	☐ Administrative		
		Operational Decision	Decision		
Approximate	☐ Below £500,000	☐ below £25,000	☐ below £25,000		
value	☐ £500,000 to	£25,000 to £100,000	£25,000 to £100,000		
	£1,000,000	100,000 to £500,000			
	over £1,000,000				
		(See ref to Exec Board			
		report in section 3)			
Director ¹	Director of City Development				
Contact person:	Nick Borras		Telephone number:		
			0113 3787497		
Subject ² :	Cottingley Hall Estate – Public Transport Infrastructure Improvements				
Decision	What decision has been taken?				
details ³ :	(Set out all necessary decisions to be taken by the decision taker including decisions in				
	relation to exempt information, exemption from call in etc.) The Chief Officer is requested to:				
	Transport Infrastructi	 Note and approve the final layout of the Cottingley Hall Estate – Public Transport Infrastructure Improvement scheme as shown on the attached Drawing No TM/25/1/321/GA-2A; 			
	ii) Give authority to issu scheme as shown.				
	£50,000 Statutory Ur £1,000 legal fees, all	£50,000 Statutory Undertakers diversionary costs, £86,000 staff fees and £1,000 legal fees, all being funded from the Connecting Leeds programme as previously approved by Executive Board and the Combined Authority's Project			
	iv) Note that the scheme, valued at £647,000, is to be wholly funded from West Yorkshire Combined Authority's Connecting Leeds programme as previously approved by Executive Board.				
	Order to introduce we the attached drawing received, to make, se	Give authority to advertise and introduce a Traffic Regulation and Movement Order to introduce waiting restrictions and bus lane respectively as shown on the attached drawing no. TM/25/1/321/GA-2A and if no valid objections are received, to make, seal and implement the Traffic Regulation and Movement Orders, as advertised; and			
	Orders and if no valid	Authorise the City Solicitor to advertise draft Traffic Regulation and Movement Orders and if no valid objections are received, to make, seal and implement the Orders and pedestrian crossings as advertised			

¹ Give title of Director with delegated responsibility for function to which decision relates.

² If the decision is key and has appeared on the list of forthcoming key decisions, the title of the decision should be the same as that used in the list

³ Simply refer to supporting report where used as these matters have been set out in detail.

	A brief statement of the reasons for the decision			
	(Include any significant financial, procurement, legal or equalities implications, having consulted with Finance, PACS, Legal, HR and Equality colleagues as appropriate)			
	In June 2020 Leeds City Council's Executive Board approved the principle of a project to deliver six individual schemes as part of The Transport Hubs Improvements and Public Transport Access Schemes across Leeds. This project comprises of schemes in Bramley, Harehills, Cottingley, Lincoln Green & Mabgate, Pudsey, Middleton and Rothwell & Robin Hood.			
	This decision relates to the delivery and constructon of the Cottingley Hall Estate – Public Transport Infrastructure Improvement scheme and the advertisement of a Traffic Regulation Order for parking restrictions.			
	Brief details of any alternative options considered and rejected by the decision maker at the time of making the decision			
	The scheme is specific and thus there are no alternative options to consider.			
Affected wards:	Beeston & Holbeck ward			
Details of	Executive Member: Councillor Lisa Mulherin 11/01/21			
consultation	The Executive Member for Climate Change, Transport and Sustainable Development			
undertaken ⁴ :	has been consulted on the overall Transport Hubs Improvements and Public Transport Access Schemes and the six individual schemes and continues to be brieded on the progress of the overall project.			
	Ward Councillors			
	Ward Members of the Beeston & Holbeck ward were consulted and briefed on the Cottingley scheme by the West Yorkshire Combined Authority and have continued to be briefed throughout the detailed design process.			
	Others			
	A programme of consultations were undertaken with the various local communities, general public and affected properties, Emergency Services, bus and rail operators, internal stakeholders, third party land owners and the Cycle Consultation Forum.			
Implementation	Officer accountable, and proposed timescales for implementation			
·	Nick Borras – Delivery timescale: Start 11 th February 2021, completion June 2021			
List of	Date Added to List:-			
Forthcoming				
Key Decisions ⁵	If Special Urgency or General Exception a brief statement of the reason why it is impracticable to delay the decision			

⁴ Include details of any interest disclosed by an elected Member on consultation and the date of any relevant dispensation given.

⁵ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 2.4 - 2.6. Complete this section for key decisions only

	If Special Urgency Relevant Scrutiny Chair(s) approval					
	Signature		Date			
Publication of	If not published for 5 clear working days prior to decision being taken the reason why not possible:					
report ⁶	reason why not possible.					
	If published late relevant Executive member's approval					
	Signature		Date			
Call In	Is the decision available ⁷	⊠ Yes	☐ No			
	for call-in?					
	If exempt from call-in, the reason why call-in would prejudice the interests of					
	the council or the public:					
A						
Approval of	Authorised decision maker ⁸					
Decision	Gary Bartlett, Chief Officer, Highways & Transportation					
	Signature:		Date: 26/01/21			
	GJBanklet					
Approval of	Is the decision available ⁷ for call-in? If exempt from call-in, the the council or the public: Authorised decision makes Gary Bartlett, Chief Officer Signature:	e reason why call-in would p r ⁸ r, Highways & Transportatio	□ No orejudice the interests of			

 ⁶ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 3.1. Complete this section for key decisions only
 ⁷ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 5.1. Significant operational decisions taken by officers are never available for call in. Key decisions are always available for call in unless they have been exempted from call in under rule 5.1.3.

⁸ Give the post title and name of the officer with appropriate delegated authority to take the decision.